

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,490	07/29/2003	Richard P. Tella	10010565-2	9682
	7590 11/08/2007 CHNOLOGIES, INC.		ЕХАМ	INER
Legal Department, DL429			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
Intellectual Pro P.O. Box 7599	perty Administration		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1797	
Loveland, CO	30537-0599			
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/630,490	TELLA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Lyle A. Alexander	1797			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	S		
Period fo		V 10 05T TO 5VDIDE 0	AONTHAON OF THIRTY (20) F	AVC		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN (36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC a. cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communities NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 S	September 2007.				
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9,26-36,48 and 49</u> is/are pending in	n the application.				
<i>,</i> —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9, 26-36 and 48-49</u> is/are rejected	•				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	52.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
•	2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		n received in this National Stag	ge		
	application from the International Burea		at received			
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies hi	n received.			
Attachme	nt(s)					
1) 🔲 Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) rer No(s)/Mail Date	_	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 			

10/630,490 Art Unit: 1797

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-9, 26-36 and 48-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Marouiss et al. (2001/0048899).

Mauouiss et al. teach an integrated sample processing system(500) comprising a plurality of modules further comprising at least one sample input/output site(502), a transport module(504), a fluidics module(506) and an analysis module(508).

Paragraph[070] teaches sample holders that have been read on the claimed "substrate mount for receiving a substrate". Paragraph[0105] teaches a transport module for the movement of the sample holders that has been read on the claimed "a touch system for positioning the substrate". Paragraph[0158] teaches a fluidic module for the dispensing of fluid to the sample holder that has been read on the claimed "dispensing device".

Paragraphs[0218-0223] teach the rotation of the dispensing device which has been read on the claimed "dispensing device is adapted for translation alone a y-axis and for rotation about a central axis ...". Paragraphs[0268+] teach an optical system that has been read on the claimed "optical system". Paragraph[0324] teaches control of the sample holders/microplate by optical analysis.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/10/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number:

10/630,490 Art Unit: 1797

Applicants' state Marouiss et al. fail to teach the claimed two optical systems that position a substrate mount. The Office maintains the claimed "optical system" is sufficiently broad to have been properly read on the taught "imaging device such as a camera" by Marouiss et al.

Applicants' state Marouiss et al. fail to teach the claimed "dispensing device".

The Office maintains the instant claim language "dispensing device" is sufficiently broad to have been properly read on the dispensing device described in paragraph [0218] of Marouiss et al.

Applicants' argue all of the dependent claims are allowable because the independent claims is allowable. The Office maintains all of the rejections of record are proper.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number:

10/630,490 Art Unit: 1797

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743